Page 4 of 4

REMARKS

By this amendment, claims 20-23 and 32 have been amended, and claim 19 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

The Supplemental Office Action rejected claims 19, 23, and 32 as being anticipated by Walby (U.S. Pat. No. 6,708,538). The Office Action rejected claim 22 as being unpatentable over Walby, and claim 21 as being unpatentable over Walby in view of Hermann (U.S. Pat. No. 3,383,886). Claim 20 had been rejected in a Final Office Action of March 9, 2007 as being anticipated by Walby. Applicant's attorney, David Grover, and Examiner Suzanne Dino Barrett conducted a telephone interview on May 8, 2007 regarding claim 20. In the interview, Examiner Barrett agreed that claim 20 was patentable over the cited references. Accordingly, the Supplemental Final Office Action objected to claim 20 as being based on a rejected independent claim, and indicated the claim would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Claim 20 has been amended to be in independent form, and is therefore in condition for allowance. Claims 21-23 and 32 have been amended to depend from allowable claim 20, and are therefore also in condition for allowance at least based on direct dependence on claim 20.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all pending claims of this application are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims of this application is respectfully solicited. Furthermore, if the Examiner believes that additional discussions or information might advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 6/7/2007

David J. Grover (Reg. No.: 58,930) Phone (216) 622-8333